Distal movement of upper permanent molars using midpalatal mini-implant
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Objective: To assess whether palatal mini-implants are effective as direct anchorage for distal movement of the upper molars.

Methods: It was used an acrylic model of the upper dental arch. After making a groove in the region corresponding to dental alveolus, acrylic teeth were fixed in groove with #7 wax, with the roots being previously immersed in adhesive wax. The orthodontic appliance was placed according to the Edgewise technique and then a mini-implant (SIN, São Paulo, Brazil) was inserted at the site corresponding to the palatal raphe. A 0.019 x 0.025-in stainless steel archwire was made and attached to the upper arch with elastics. A transpalatal arch bar (0.019 x 0.025-in) was mounted and two hooks were soldered to it in order to retain chain elastics (Unitek, Brazil) to be connected to the mini-implant under a force of 1.5 N on each side. The maxillary model was immersed in water 40 times and photographed after each immersion, for observation of dental movements. Analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Tukey’s test were employed for analyzing the obtained data.

Results: Molars displaced distally 3.1 mm, in average, with distal inclination ranging from 3 to 5 mm.

Conclusions: Molar movements occurred due to distal inclination, with a slight rotation and no extrusive effect.
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INTRODUCTION

Methods for controlling anchorage during distal movement of molar teeth tend to cause undesirable movements in other teeth, and they also depend on the patient’s cooperation when extraoral anchorage is use. However, with the advent of skeletal anchorage, such a disadvantage has been overcome and gain respect among orthodontists.17

The orthodontic use of palatal mini-implants for anchorage was first described in the 90’s,22 and additional studies on skulls were carried out to determine the most suitable area for placement of these devices.23

Palatal mini-implants can be used as skeletal anchorage because the midpalatal suture has cortical bone enough to support them and, in addition, there are no tooth roots, nerves or blood vessels in this region, which makes surgical procedures difficult.1 Similarly to other mini-implants, the palatal ones allow immediate load application without suffering osseointegration, thus being easily removed, as they are made of titanium-aluminium alloy instead of pure titanium, as in the conventional implants.17

Palatal mini-implants can be used for direct or indirect anchorage. In the former case, force is directly applied to the mini-implant, whereas in the latter case force is applied to a group of teeth in order to stabilise them. This is achieved by means of a transpalatal arch with adequate dimensions so that anchorage loss could be prevented due to the intrinsic elasticity of the system.23

Some authors suggest the placement of a mini-implant in the palatal midline, for molar distal movement and application of force by means of palatal bar, since the cortical bone has excellent quality. This eliminates the need to remove the mini-implant during retraction of anterior teeth as it occurs when the mini-implants are inserted buccally. However, load application for the movement described earlier is of difficult control, because the point of application of the force is above the center of resistance and promotes dental inclination, with distal movement being more enhanced in the root portion.5,18

As the palatal suture is thick, the mini-implant for this region should have a diameter greater than that for the alveolar ridge, and in case of primary instability, the mini-implant should be inserted adjacently to the suture.23

The objective of the present study was to assess whether palatal mini-implants provide effective anchorage for distal movement of the upper molars under forces similar to the orthodontically applied ones.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

Five upper arch plaster models made in acrylic were used; with resin teeth; bands for permanent first and second molars; brackets for bonding on the other anterior teeth; a 0.019 x 0.025-in wire; a 0.9-mm wire; two double molar tubes; two first molars twin brackets for welding; two single molar tubes for second molars (Unitek, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil) and one mini-implant (SIN, São Paulo, Brazil).

A groove was made in the region corresponding to dental alveolus to be then filled with #7 wax. Acrylic teeth were fixed in the groove with this wax, with their roots being previously immersed in adhesive wax. The brackets, whose bonding sites were previously sandblasted with aluminium oxide, were bonded from right second premolar to left second premolar with resin (Concise) according to the Edgewise technique. The mini-implant was inserted in the region corresponding to the palatal midline between the first molars by using an appropriate screw-drive. Orthodontic bands for the first and second molars were selected and the respective accessories were buccally welded to them as well as a 0.019 x 0.025-in rectangular 5-cm wire, perpendicular to its cervical third. A 0.019 x 0.025-in archwire was made and attached to the upper arch with elastics. Double tubes were welded on the lingual aspect of the first molar bands for adaptation of the transpalatal bar, and later the bands were bonded. The transpalatal bar was made of 0.9 x 0.9-mm wire and adapted to the tubes, with its curvature between the second premolars, being welded in it two hooks for retention of double link chain elastics (Unitek), both reaching the mini-implant under a load of 150 gf each, totalizing a load of 300 gf.

The upper arch model was immersed in water at a temperature of 60 °C until the wax softened and then removed and cooled in current water. Photographs were taken with a Minolta camera (15-mm aperture, shutter speed of 30s, and 14-cm bellows length) before and after the immersion so that the dental movements could be recorded. Both the camera and the upper arch model were fixed in order to avoid distortions during the photographic procedures and to
guarantee the reliability of the experiment. After each immersion, the shift of the permanent molars was recorded in the occlusal photographs and a digital calliper was used to measure the shortest distance between the occlusal surface of the first molars and the second premolars. A line connecting the buccal cusps of the premolars at each side was traced in the occlusal photographs. Other two lines were also traced on the enamel bridges of the first and second permanent molars on both sides (Figs 1 and 2).

The inner angles formed by the intersection of these lines traced on the photographs, taken before and after immersion of the upper arch model, were measured. In addition, the shortest distance between second premolars and first molar at the interproximal space was measured as well. In the lateral photographs, the angle between the upper edge of the model and the wire welded buccally to the first and second molars on each side was measured before and after each immersion, to verify any distal movement or inclination of these teeth. The experiment was performed 40 times, with the wax being replaced after every 5 immersions. Two hundred and forty photographs were obtained for each model, being three before and three after each immersion, for each model. Thus, for the 5 studied cast models 1,200 photographs were evaluated, all taken and analyzed by the same observer. For each linear and angular measurement, the mean and standard deviation was calculated at the time before the immersion (T0) and after it (T1). The behavior of the measures between the times (T1 x T0) was tested for significance with the paired Student t test, with significance level of 5%.

Method error

One hundred and twenty photographs were obtained from two randomly chosen models, before being subjected to immersion. No significant difference was found using the paired t test. The accuracy of the measurements was calculated using the Dahlberg’s formula. For the angular measurements, the method error did not exceed 0.375°, and for the linear measurements did not exceed 0.345 mm.
RESULTS
In the analysis of occlusal photographs of the upper arch, it was observed a mean distal rotation of 1 degree in the first permanent molars and 3 degrees in the second permanent molars. Observing the buccal aspect of the intraoral lateral photographs, the crowns of the first permanent molars on the right and left sides were found to be distally inclined, in average, 3 degrees and 5 degrees, respectively. On the other hand, the second permanent molars inclined distally, in average, 5 degrees on both sides (Table 1).

As regards the linear measurements performed on 400 occlusal photographs of the five plaster models, it was observed that molars crowns shifted 3.2 mm distally, in average, on the right side, and 3.1 mm on the left side, presenting statistical significance (Table 1).

DISCUSSION
The results have shown that palatal mini-implant is efficient as a direct anchorage device for distal movement of molars, although distal rotations of 3 degrees and 1 degree had occurred, respectively, in second and first molars. As the transpalatal bar was mounted and attached to first molars by means of ligature wires, a smaller moment of distal inclination was generated onto these teeth, compared to second molars. This is due to the fact that distal forces generate a moment of distal inclination, while the bar movement inside the lingual tube of the first molars generates moment of mesial inclination. The second molars had a greater distal inclination because during distal movement they received only distal forces without suffering the effect of mesial moment, as they did not have a bar associated.

No molar extrusion was observed, probably due to the force being applied above the centre of resistance and parallel to the occlusal plane. Distal molars displacement of 3.1 mm, in average, with mesial diastemas in the second premolars was found. This suggests that distal movement of the first and second molars can be simultaneously achieved by means of skeletal anchorage in the palatal raphe. Similar results were reported by Lim an Hong, although differing in terms of neutralization of rotation and distal inclination of the molars as skeletal anchorage involved the palatal raphe and alveolar border buccally.

Because palatal raphe is composed of a thick cortical bone, the placement of a mini-implant in this region is secure and allows easy access, with low susceptibility of inflammation due to the existing fibrous connective tissue. In addition, palatal mini-implant does not interfere with dental movement, although this may occur if mini-implants are placed onto alveolar processes. Nevertheless, the length of the mini-implant should not exceed 4–6 mm in order to avoid the risk of perforation of the nasal cavity.

Intraoral appliances and devices have been introduced to minimize the need for patient cooperation, which is required with the use of extraoral appliances. Among them, one can cite the following: Repelling magnet, arches with coil springs, NiTi superelastic arches, Jones jig, distal jet, Keles Slider, pendulum appliance and K-loop. Although these appliances are aimed at applying a continuous distal force to molars, they also promote mesial force on anterior teeth. Therefore, loss of anchorage resulting in protrusion of anterior teeth also occurs. Distal inclination and extrusion of upper first molars have been observed and considered as undesirable movements during distal movement using these intraoral appliances. The mechanics involving intraoral Class II elastics also have harmful effects, such as extrusion of lower molars, retroclination of upper incisors and projection of lower incisors.

With the advent of mini-implants, however, the anchorage problem of anterior teeth during distal movement of molars has been solved. However, dis-

Table 1 - Mean values of angular measurements regarding upper first and second permanent molars assessed on occlusal and lateral photographs of the right and left sides.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Measurements on the photographs</th>
<th>Mean ± SD</th>
<th>p value T₀ x T₁</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>After immersion</td>
<td>Before immersion</td>
<td>After immersion</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occlusal 16 (degrees)</td>
<td>51.30 ± 0.464</td>
<td>52.30 ± 0.464</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occlusal 17 (degrees)</td>
<td>50.30 ± 0.464</td>
<td>53.30 ± 0.464</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occlusal 26 (degrees)</td>
<td>53.30 ± 0.464</td>
<td>54.30 ± 0.464</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Occlusal 27 (degrees)</td>
<td>52.30 ± 0.464</td>
<td>55.30 ± 0.464</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral 16 (degrees)</td>
<td>91.30 ± 0.464</td>
<td>94.30 ± 0.464</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral 17 (degrees)</td>
<td>90.30 ± 0.464</td>
<td>95.30 ± 0.464</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral 26 (degrees)</td>
<td>85.30 ± 0.464</td>
<td>90.30 ± 0.464</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral 27 (degrees)</td>
<td>93.30 ± 0.464</td>
<td>99.30 ± 0.464</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16/15 (mm)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.2 ± 0.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26/25 (mm)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.1 ± 0.10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

16, 17, 26 and 27 = first and second molars on the right and left sides, respectively. * p = 5% significance.
tal inclination, extrusion and rotation of upper molars still demand additional care. 19

CONCLUSIONS

» Simultaneous distal movement of first and second molars can be possibly achieved with direct skeletal anchorage in the palatal raphe, with forces being applied by means of a transpalatal bar.

» Distal inclination was observed with slight rotation and no extrusive effect.

» It was suggested the placement of mini-implant in the alveolar process or the use of other buccal device for auxiliary three-dimensional control of the molars during distal movement.
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